Academic Review Criteria Comparison

•

•

•

Health Sciences Clinical Series APM 210-6	Clinical X Series APM 210-2
Review Criteria: OVERVIEW	
 Excellence in clinical teaching (role/interactions with trainees is extensive Professional competence and activity (focus is on clinical expertise) Scholarly/creative activity (loosely defined; broadly applied) University and Public Service 	 Excellence in clinical teaching (role/interactions with trainees is extensive) Professional competence and activity (clinical achievement and activity) Scholarly/creative activity (publications, shared teaching materials and/or innovations) University and Public Service
Review Criteria: TEACHING	
Number of hours required should be greater than for VCF Appointments may be made on the promise of teaching excelle	 Number of hours required should be greater than for VCF Appointments should include evidence of active participation and excellence in teaching
Review Criteria: SCHOLARLY/CREATIVE ACTIVITY	
Engage in scholarly or creative activities which derive from and support their primary responsibilities in clinical teaching and professional and service activities	 Contributions to knowledge and/or practice in the field Must be disseminated – e.g., in a body of publications, in teaching materials used in other institutions, or in improvements or innovations in professional practice which have been adopted elsewhere.